

RECEIVED  
JAN 29 2004  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900**Remarks**

In response the Final Office Action mailed October 21, 2003, Applicant is submitting the amendment.

Claims 1 and 10 were pending in this application. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 10, and claim 10 has been cancelled. As a result claim 1 is pending for examination with claim 1 being an independent claim. No new matter has been added.

**Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112, Second Paragraph**

The Examiner rejected claims 1 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Claim 10 has been cancelled and claim 1 has been amended to overcome this rejection by incorporating the reference point specified in claim 10 as per the Examiner's suggestion. The Examiner also rejected claim 1 because of the language "selected from the group consisting" in line 6. Applicant has deleted this language to obviate this rejection.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph.

**CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, this application should now be in condition for allowance. A notice to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicant's attorney at the telephone number listed below.

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicant hereby requests any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee, that is not covered by an enclosed check, please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 23/2825.

Respectfully submitted,  
**George Mutter, Applicant**



John R. Van Amsterdam, Reg. No. 40,212  
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2211  
Telephone: (617) 720-3500